

F-4

**IIHR FLUME
STUDY**

EMAIL RE: MAY 9 MEETING

rgaines

From: Maynard, Stephen T WES [MAYNORS@wes.army.mil]
Sent: Saturday, April 29, 2000 11:40 AM
To: 'rgaines'
Subject: RE: May 9 meeting

Andy,

I had a discussion with Marcello. He recently had a problem with contractual stuff at wes because he is not a citizen of the US. They got around it by having someone else's name on the contract. This worries me. I am going to talk to someone here at wes who handled the contract.

I also had a talk with roger smith from memphis who was down here with bob hunt for the field review group meeting. Everyone wants to talk micromodelling when they are around me so we started talking. He says the new guy they hired in CE is interested in our flume work and is a photo expert. If we scale our work back significantly, photos might not be too bad. Marcello is good but he is not someone you can rush and I worry about him finishing on time. If we wait for Marcello or someone else with PIV, we may never get anything. What do you think about going back to memphis? I would like to see 2,6, and 12" flumes with about 3 depths each with one roughness and maybe three structures for about 30 tests. We need to somehow address reynolds number effects around dikes in a fixed bed before we exit this study. What do you think?

Steve

-----Original Message-----
From: rgaines [mailto:rgaines@umr.edu]
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2000 9:26 AM
To: 'Maynard, Stephen T WES'
Subject: RE: May 9 meeting

The 10th would work, but my preference is for the 9th.

Andy

-----Original Message-----
From: Maynard, Stephen T WES [mailto:MAYNORS@wes.army.mil]
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2000 7:29 AM
To: 'rgaines'
Subject: RE: May 9 meeting

Andy,

Would you object to moving the mtg to the 10th?

Steve

-----Original Message-----
From: rgaines [mailto:rgaines@umr.edu]
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2000 7:23 AM
To: 'Maynard, Stephen T WES'
Subject: May 9 meeting

Steve,

I've still not heard anything from Rob. Did you have any luck catching Dave?

Hope the FRG meeting went well.

Andy Gaines
email: rgaines@umr.edu
phone: 573-341-6751

4/24/00

Dr. Garcia thinks we should take a different approach - possibly with curved/meandering flumes. The work as outlined wouldn't prove anything and may be better to redesign effort. Also thinks the work should involve movable bed vs. fixed ^{well} flumes.

Was not willing to commit to work as outlined due to limited time frame and his present work load.